

AF/1614\$

TECH CENTER 1600/2900 PATENT

#12 3Rl 141102

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Balaram GHOSH, et al.

Serial No.:

09/535,390

Group No.:

1614

Filed: March 24, 2000

Examiner:

B. Kwon

For:

METHOD FOR THE PREVENTION OF SEPTIC SHOCK LETHALITY USING CURCUMIN

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed June 4, 2002 ____, finally rejecting claims 1 and 9-13 ____.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark

deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Sighature

Date: December 4, 2002

Janet I. Cord

Office.

(type or print name of person certifying

12/11/2002 CNGUYEN 00000016 09535390

01 FC:1401

320.00 OP

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board—page 1 of 4) 9-6

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of		RECEIVED			
[√] other than a small entity. [] a small entity.		DEC 1 2 2002			
A statement:		TECH CENTER 1600/2900			
[] is attached. [] was already filed on _	•				
2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF A	PPEAL				
Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the	fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:	·			
[] small entity [√] other than a small entity	\$160.00 \$320.00	·			
	Notice of Appeal fee due \$320.0	00			
3. EXTENSION OF TERM					
processing or examination of an apport that are taken to reply to any notice request, measuring such three-month in which case the period of adjustme on the day after the date that is three notifying the applicant of the rejection	ant shall be deemed to have failed to engag lication for the cumulative total of any peric e or action by the Office making any rejec a period from the date the notice or action v nt set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by t e months after the date of mailing or transn on, objection, argument, or other request a ory period, for reply that is set in the Office paragraph."	ods of time in excess of three months tion, objection, argument, or other vas mailed or given to the applicant, he number of days, if any beginning nission of the Office communication nd ending on the date the reply was			
OTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).					
(com	aplete (a) or (b), as applicable)				
The proceedings herein are for a p	patent application and the provision	as of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.			
	an extension of time under 37 C total number of months checked b	•			
Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity			
[] one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00			

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity	
[] one month [] two months [] three months [] four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 400.00 \$ 920.00 \$1,440.00	\$ 55.00 \$200.00 \$460.00 \$920.00	

Fee \$ _____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

	(a)		An extension for 3 months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$920.00 is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested in the Response After Final Rejection Transmittal submitted herewith	
			Extension fee due with this request \$0	
			or	
	(b)		Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.	
4.	ТО	TAL FEI	E DUE	
The	e tota	al fee due	e is:	
	Not	ice of A _l	ppeal fee \$ <u>320.00</u>	
	Ext	ension fe	TOTAL FEE DUE \$320.00	
5.	FEE	E PAYM	ENT	
	[]	Charge .	d is a check in the sum of \$ 320.00 . Account No the sum of \$ of this transmittal is attached.	
6.	FEE DEFICIENCY			
NO:	TE:	the additional the before the authorization authorization are branch in	a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover conal time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired e deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where tion to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for ficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.	
	×	If any ad No. <u>12-</u> 0	Iditional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account 0425 .	
			AND/OR	
	×	If any ac	dditional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.	

Reg. No. 33,778

Janet I. Cord
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.: (212) 708-1935

P.O. Address

Customer No.:

c/o Ladas & Parry
26 West 61st Street
New York, N.Y. 10023